




Congress, Laura  
Page 1 of 2




Congress, Laura  
Page 2 of 2



Courtright, Caroline  
Page 1 of 1

 Draft Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement  
for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore  
National Laboratory and Supplemental Stockpile  
Stewardship and Management Programmatic  
Environmental Impact Statement  
U.S. Department of Energy  
National Nuclear Security Administration

 **NNSA**  
National Nuclear Security Administration

**Written Comment Form**  
Must be received on or before May 27, 2004.

Mr. Grim

1/07.01 PLEASE, instead of proposing new weapons projects at LLNL, the DOE should enhance the peaceful, civilian scientific capabilities & mission at LLNL by proposing new unclassified programs in environmental cleanup, non-polluting renewable energy, earth sciences, astrophysics, atmospheric physics & others.

2/06.01 If a choice has to be made from the 3 alternatives in the EIS, choose only the "Reduced proposal". However I feel the EIS is

3/24.03 FATAALLY FLAWED because it never mentions that LLNL + SITE 300, are both on the Superfund List. I believe a new EIS needs to

4/31.02 be drafted & opened for public comment. CLEAN UP contamination

5/24.02 on those sites before increasing dangerous polluting activities.

6/04.01 Do NOT increase storage capabilities for plutonium OR TRITIUM. Do NOT revive the Plutonium Atomic Vapor Laser Isotope Separation. Do NOT expand use of NIF. Do NOT expand MPF. Do NOT expand BIO-warfare agent research facility. CLEAN UP LLNL + SITE 300, DO NOT expand.

Thank you,

CAROLINE COURTRIGHT

Comment forms may be mailed to:  
Mr. Tom Grim  
Document Manager  
National Nuclear Security Administration  
Livermore Site Office, L-293  
7000 East Avenue  
Livermore, CA 94550-9234

Comment forms may be faxed to:  
Mr. Tom Grim  
(925) 422-1776

12025 Larkspur W  
GRASS VALLEY, CA  
95949

Courtright, Caroline  
Page 1 of 1

1/06.01

2/31.04

3/01.01

4/04.01

5/07.01

Dear DOE:


Here is my comment on the draft Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement for Lawrence Livermore Lab operations over the next 30 years. The SWEIS calls for major increases in nuclear weapons design and manufacture. New plutonium activities include: raising the inventory from 1,540 pounds to 3,500 pounds; tripling the amount "at risk" at one time; creating prototype bomb cores for a new "Modern Pit Facility," fissioning plutonium in the NIF mega-laser; and, vaporizing plutonium oxide on-site to separate isotopes. The SWEIS also reveals plans to increase the "at risk" limit for radioactive tritium 10-fold.

I oppose these actions in the SWEIS that will increase nuclear proliferation and damage our environment. I call on you to analyze conversion of the Lab to peaceful purposes as an alternative.

Signed: Caroline Courtright

Address: 12025 Larkspur W  
GRASS VALLEY, CA

*\*Only consider Reduced Op. Alternative. \*Do not let it lead to a new EIS for circulation.*

 **To:** 00662  
RECEIVED MAY 13 2004  
Mr. Tom Grim  
DOE, NNSA, L-293  
7000 East Avenue  
Livermore, CA  
94550

Cousino, Val  
Page 1 of 2

Cousino, Val  
Page 2 of 2

1/04.01  
2/01.01  
3/23.02  
4/14.01  
5/30.01  
3/23.02  
cont.

Val Cousino  
San Jose

Mr. Thomas G.  
Livermore

Dear Sir -

As a concerned citizen,  
I would like to strongly urge  
you to oppose the Bush Administration  
plan to expand nuclear testing, create  
plutonium using lasers, and tripling the  
amount of plutonium & its waste  
stored on site. Presuming increasing  
nuclear testing will surely induce  
other countries to do the same,  
thus resuming cold war nuclear  
proliferation and possibly increasing  
retaliatory or terrorist acts.  
Creating plutonium with lasers  
is much easier to conceal, an advantage  
to terrorists and other subversives &  
criminals. There are also very  
serious health, safety, & environmental  
concerns for those of us right here  
at home. Livermore is between 2  
earthquake faults, and is near very  
densely populated areas. There is  
great danger of seismic activity, the  
very real threat of theft or sabotage,  
and tenfold increase of radiation  
exposure for those living close to  
the lab. Increased exposure causes

© 1999 LSI

3/23.02  
cont.

1/04.01  
cont.

Neuromuscular degenerative disease, immune  
system damage, cancer and many other deadly  
conditions. We, the working classes, are  
desperate for employment right now, and if  
the official line is that this will create jobs  
then people will be all for it. Please assist  
us in getting the true factual information out  
to the public. As a working class citizen, I  
am extremely concerned about the long-term  
health, safety, security and environmental  
effects this will have on my fellow citizens.

I implore you to join us in opposing  
this heinous plan. If there is any other  
action that I can take to assist in stopping  
this, please keep me informed. A response  
would be greatly appreciated, thank you  
for your time & consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. Val Cousino  
Concerned American  
Tax paying voter  
436 Richmond Ave  
San Jose Calif 95128

**Darr, Norma**  
**Page 1 of 1**

-----Original Message-----

From: Norma Darr [mailto:nmd403@earthlink.net]  
 Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2004 6:04 PM  
 To: Mr. Tom Grim  
 Subject: New Nuclear Weapons Program

Norma Darr  
 228 San Juan Ave., #1

Venice, CA 90291

May 18, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim  
 DOE, NNSA L-293  
 7000 East Ave.  
 Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Grim:

1/04.01

I am writing to support my elected California representatives in opposing plans to initiate and/or expand nuclear weapons programs at the Lawrence Livermore Labs. This is ill-advised for many important reasons, including environmental safety and domestic security, but most important is the danger of escalating the nuclear arms race in the midst of the U.S.'s extremely volatile political relations in the Middle East and among our allies.

Sincerely,

Norma Darr

**Dayaneni, Gopal**  
**Page 1 of 1**

Gopal Dayaneni  
 1538 Martin Luther King Jr Way  
 Berkeley, CA 94709

May 28, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim  
 DOE, NNSA L-293  
 7000 East Ave.  
 Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Grim:

Please accept my comments regarding the proposed expansion of nuclear weapons and biological agents development.

1/04.01

As a resident of the Bay Area, I must strongly express my opposition to these proposed expansions in the operations at the lab.

2/35.01

Genetically engineered biological agents present dangers which our countries Secretary of Defense would term, "knowns and unknowns." The precautionary principle (better safe than sorry) would demand of us much greater caution.

3/31.06

4/30.01

Just this week, we have been warned of greater threats by "terrorists." Increasing the handling, storage and experimentation with radiological and biological materials is unsafe and insecure.

5/02.01

Mini-nukes and bunker-busters- are tools for killing, and have no place in a the world of science. We should head the warnings of Einstein and others who lived through the development of the A-Bomb- this is bad science.

1/04.01

cont.


For reasons of safety (there is no way to guarantee safe handling of radiological and biological materials); security (there is no way to guarantee that the facility is secure from outside influences- as has been established in recent months); community health (we all know that this lab has exposed the local community to elevated levels of radiation and has caused cancers); global responsibility (we must reduce the threat of WMD, not create it) and plain common sense, I oppose any expansions of nuclear weapons and biological agents research.


Terrified by our reckless behavior;

**Gopal Dayaneni**

**de Bellis, Tony**  
**Page 1 of 1**

**Dennis, Amy**  
**Page 1 of 1**

 Draft Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement  
for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore  
National Laboratory and Supplemental Stockpile  
Stewardship and Management Programmatic  
Environmental Impact Statement  
U.S. Department of Energy  
National Nuclear Security Administration



**Written Comment Form**  
*Must be received on or before May 27, 2004.*

DEAR NNSA:

1/30.01 INCREASING THE PLUTONIUM LEVELS INVITES  
A TERRORIST ATTACK.

2/25.08 YOUR ASSESSMENT WAS FOR A SINGLE-  
ENGINE PLANE.

CONSIDER THE DAMAGE --- (FINANCIAL,  
PERMANENT RADIOACTIVE AND MENTAL)  
TO THE GREATER BAY AREA ---  
FOREVER --- IF A 747 HIT L.O.L.

NOW CONSIDER THE POLITICAL POSITION  
OF THE BUSHIES.

Tom deBellis  
231 Kuss Road  
Dinville CA 94526

Please use other side if more space is needed.

Comment forms may be mailed to:  
Mr. Tom Grim  
Document Manager  
National Nuclear Security Administration  
Livermore Site Office, L-293  
7000 East Avenue  
Livermore, CA 94550-9234

Comment forms may be faxed to:  
Mr. Tom Grim  
(925) 422-1776

Amy Dennis  
501 Shady Lane  
Ojai, CA 93023

May 19, 2004

Mr. Tom Grim  
DOE, NNSA L-293  
7000 East Ave.  
Livermore, CA 94550

Dear Mr. Grim:

Nuclear weapons hurt everyone, not just the desired targets. Using weapons of this type is a lose/lose situation.

1/04.01

Think big, think long-range. More nuclear weapons are not needed. Jobs can be created in other areas of defense and/or manufacturing.

Sincerely,

Amy Dennis

Department of California Highway Patrol,  
D.O. Helmick, Commissioner  
Page 1 of 2

State of California—Business, Transportation and Housing Agency    ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor  
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL  
P. O. Box 942898  
Sacramento, CA 94298-0001  
916-657-7152  
(800) 735-2929 (TT/TDD)  
(800) 735-2922 (Voice)



June 3, 2004

File No.: 1.62.A10006.4-0254

Mr. Thomas Grim, Document Manager  
National Nuclear Security Administration  
Livermore Site Office, L-293  
7000 East Avenue  
Livermore, CA 94550-9234

RE: LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATIONAL LABORATORY DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL  
IMPACT STATEMENT

Dear Mr. Grim:

The California Highway Patrol (CHP) respectfully submits the following comments in response to the U. S. Department of Energy's Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) and Supplemental Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

Under the proposed action the transportation of waste, including hazardous and radioactive, is expected to increase from 88 shipments per year to 310 shipments per year, and the annual number of radioactive, chemical and explosives shipments is expected to increase from 470 to 600. In addition, the proposed action will result in a significant increase in the amount of plutonium inventory at LLNL, including an increase from 20 to 60 kilograms of fuel-grade equivalent plutonium in the plutonium facility and an increase from the proposed goal of 200 kilograms in 1992 to 1,500 kilograms in 2004. The CHP is concerned with the security and safe transport of these materials and the potential risk of an accident or terrorist attack upon any shipment going to or from LLNL. The EIS does not adequately address the issues related to radioactive and hazardous waste volume reduction onsite and the safe transport of radioactive materials/waste to and from LLNL.

In general, the draft EIS lacks sufficient information regarding increased radioactive shipments to and from LLNL and the impact they will have on safety and security. Currently, LLNL is not licensed as a hazardous waste disposal facility. Therefore, once the materials have passed their useful life, the materials will have to be transported off-site for disposal to a licensed waste facility.



Department of California Highway Patrol,  
D.O. Helmick, Commissioner  
Page 2 of 2

Mr. Thomas Grim  
Page 2  
June 3, 2004

1/20.01  
cont.

The EIS should provide more complete information on the numbers and types of highway route-controlled quantity shipments to and from LLNL, including the maximum allowable quantities shipped for each type of material, packaging used, shipment modes and routes, and route-specific data including recent population and truck accident data for shipments along the proposed routes. The EIS should also identify circumstances where National Nuclear Security Administration personnel may escort shipments for security reasons.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this very important EIS. Should you desire further information regarding this issue, please do not hesitate to contact me or Chief Adam Cuevas, Enforcement Services Division, at (916) 445-3253.

Sincerely,  
  
D. O. HELMICK  
Commissioner